

Budget Process Report, Considerations and Recommendations

ACPS – Budget Advisory Committee (BAC)

School Board Budget Work Session
May 20, 2021

Essential Questions

- How can the sequencing of the review and approval of the School Board and City Council budgets improve the School Board's authority and autonomy?
- How can the Board develop a more streamlined, efficient budget process?
- How can community engagement and feedback on budget-related issues be improved?

Background

- During 2019-2020, BAC surveyed School Board Members in an effort to more fully inform BAC's 2020-21 Scope of Work and to find ways BAC could support the Board in its budget work.
- The School Board identified sequencing of the review and approval of the Board's and City Council's budgets as an issue for the following reasons:
 - Any Board vote adjusting the Superintendent's proposed budget *after* the City Manager's budget is released would be practically difficult to implement;
 - The current sequencing of the budget decisions undermined one of the primary roles and functions of the Board, to review and approve the Division's budget; and
 - The City Manager publicly stating that he's met ACPS' funding request *before* the Board has approved the ACPS budget diminishes the Board's role and autonomy.

Goals

- To develop a more streamlined, efficient budget process
- To explore issues within the budget process, such as:
 - Identify sequencing concerns between ACPS & the City's budget calendar;
 - Explore impediments to aligning the Board and City budget calendars;
 - Compare ACPS' budget calendar to other jurisdictions; and
 - Identify recommendations for a more streamlined and efficient budget process, with the related costs/benefits identified.
- Provide Budget Staff with information to inform development of several FY23 budget calendar options for the Board to review in June 2021

Process

Comparison with other Jurisdictions

- Examined the school budget process in four neighboring or comparable jurisdictions:
 - -- Arlington County -- Fairfax County
 - -- City of Newport News -- City of Richmond
- Examined timing and sequencing of budget decision-making by the School Board and City/County officials
- Examined the components of the budget process in specific areas

Components of the Budget Process

- Budget calendar, w/ attention to these key dates:
 - Superintendent release of proposed budget
 - School Board vote on Superintendent's Proposed Budget
 - Release of City/County proposed budget by the City Manager
 - City County Vote on the Final Budget
- Formal exchange between School Board and City/County around budget setting (e.g., joint budget work sessions, meetings, etc.);
- Level of public engagement during School Board budget process
 - Public Hearings and Community Forums
- Rules of engagement for budget process; and
- Whether, how and when budget priority setting is made and how it is incorporated into budget planning and decision making.

General Observations re: Comparisons with Surrounding Jurisdictions

- Timeline is comparable for priority setting and Superintendent's release of their proposed budget
- Deliberation process is truncated in other jurisdictions
- Alexandria somewhat of an outlier in having the Board approve the Superintendent's proposed budget after the general budget is released by the City Manager/Executive
- Alexandria offers more public engagement and work sessions
- More formal City/Schools engagement in Alexandria

Recommendations:

School Board, City Council Budget Timing and Engagement

- The School Board should adopt a budget calendar that allows for a Board vote on the Superintendent's proposed budget prior to the City Manager's budget release to the Council
- The Board should continue to have robust engagement with the Council on budget related issues both before and during the budget process
- ACPS should consider preparing a list of "reach" items beyond the Superintendent's proposed budget that could be pursued with additional funding

Recommendations:

Public Engagement on Budget Issues

- The Board should revamp its public engagement on budget issues to be more equitably accessible, meaningful, and efficient, in keeping with the Board's stated equity and inclusion goal.
- ACPS and the Board should create a detailed and actionable communication plan related to budget-related engagement.

Recommendations: Public Engagement (cont.)

- This plan should include:
 - multiple modes of communication and engagement opportunities for the community (e.g., text, e-mail, in-person, video, audio) - both in sending out *and* in receiving information/feedback
 - collaboration and engagement with external stakeholders (e.g., FACE, PTAC, community organizations like Casa Chirilagua)
 - sufficient notice to the public for budget-related opportunities/events
 - use of online tools (e.g., survey, comment page on Division website)
 - continued improvements to the website in order to pair public engagement opportunities with robust public information
 - use short, digestible fact sheets to “tell a story” about the budget

Recommendations: Public Engagement (cont.)

- Other recommendations
 - Revamp the Community Budget Forum, traditionally held in October (consider goals, format, timing, partnership, Board participation)
 - Utilize BAC to solicit public feedback on the budget to submit to the School Board for consideration.
 - Instead of live, in-person commentary, use written and virtual commentary at Board meetings and public hearings. Consider tools like “Think Tank” that promote interactive
- Consider how engagement translates to community members who do not have family members enrolled in ACPS; emphasize the importance of investing in ACPS as a community good

Recommendations – Internal ACPS Process

- ACPS budget staff should develop a streamlined and simplified version of the Budget book without losing information and analysis needed by the School Board to make informed decisions.
- ACPS budget staff should propose several versions of the FY23 Budget Calendar for the Board to consider, consistent with the observations and recommendations included in this memo.

Recommendations - Internal ACPS Budget Process

Priority Setting, Rules of Engagement, and Initial Public Engagement Stage

- Reduce the budget-related work sessions in September to one work session to discuss the budget calendar, budget process resolution, rules of engagement, priorities, and fiscal forecast
- Replace the Community Budget Forum with alternative communication channels or vastly reformat the forum to ensure broader, more equitable public engagement
 - Consider combining the Community Budget Forum with a public hearing
 - Involve all Board Members as an opportunity to receive public comment
 - Eliminate a formal CIP/CF Public Hearing and pursue alternate channels to receive public comment

Recommendations - Internal ACPS Budget Process

– CIP and CF Process

- Consider sharing substantive work session presentations on the date that the Superintendent releases the proposed CIP budget and CF budget in an effort to accelerate questions and add/deletes.
- Hold a public hearing after substantive budget presentations to receive public comment, but pursue other engagement opportunities. Consider reducing the number of work sessions by combining with a public hearing.
- Reduce the two CIP and CF work sessions to one work session; consider whether these work sessions should happen before or after the Joint City Council/School Board Budget Work Sessions in November and March
- Reduce the two CIP and CF Add/Delete work sessions to one

Questions?

Budget Advisory Committee:

Erin Dahlin, Chair

Nancy Drane, Secretary

Selena El Hajji

Sean McEneaney

Sukumar Rao

Robert Easley, Director, ACPS Budget and Fiscal Compliance

robert.easley@acps.k12.va.us

Ramee Gentry, School Board Member

ramee.gentry@acps.k12.va.us

Superintendent

Dr. Gregory C. Hutchings, Jr.

School Board

Meagan L. Alderton, Chair
Veronica Nolan, Vice Chair

Cindy Anderson

Ramee A. Gentry
Jacinta Greene
Margaret Lorber

Michelle Rief

Christopher A. Suarez
Heather Thornton