## The High School Project

School Board Meeting
April 8, 2021


## Essential Questions

1. How have the concepts evolved based on feedback?
2. Which site options and concepts are being considered by the School Board?
3. Which optional programs are still being considered?
4. What are the next steps?

## Near Term Decisions



## Bringing Minnie Howard Campus to Life



## Initial Three Concepts

The three concepts were evaluated against the design patterns and criteria in meetings and workshops with ACPS and community


## Design Feedback Process



Minnie Howard Design Concepts

## Concept Phase Engagement

| Date | Activity |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mar 8 | Superintendent's Advisory Team Kickoff |
| Mar 8 | Design Kickoff Community Webinar |
| Mar 16 | Community Meeting - 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. |
| Mar 16 | Superintendent's Advisory Team Meeting - 7:00 to 8:00 p.m. |
| Mar 18 | School Board Meeting: Presentation of Concepts |
| Mar 24 | EDT and T.C.Williams Staff Meeting |
| Mar 25 | Community Meeting - 6:00 to 7:00 p.m. |
| Mar 25 | Superintendent's Advisory Team Meeting - 7:00 to 8:00 p.m. |

## Feedback on Initial Concepts - March 16 Community Meeting (38stendes)

## HAND CONCEPT

How do you feel about the massing aspect in the Hand Concept?


How do you feel about the vehicular and pedestrian access in the Hand Concept?


How do you feel about the open space aspect in the Hand Concept?


## CRESCENT CONCEPT

How do you feel about the massing aspect in the Crescent Concept?


How do you feel about the vehicular and pedestrian access in the Crescent Concept?


How do you feel about the open space aspect in the Crescent Concept?


## PINWHEEL CONCEPT

How do you feel about the massing aspect in the Pinwheel Concept?
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How do you feel about the vehicular and pedestrian access in the Pinwheel Concept?


How do you feel about the open space aspect in the Crescent Concept?


## CONCEPTCOMPARISON

Which concept do you think is best for massing?


Which concept do you think is best for vehicular and oociestrian access?


Which concept do you think is best for open space?


## Comparison of Concepts



## Revised Site Layout Options

OPTION A


OPTION B


## Revised Concepts Comparison Matrix

The three concepts were evaluated against the design patterns and criteria in meetings and workshops with ACPS and community stakeholders.


## The Hand Concept



## The Hand Concept

- Learning neighborhoods are oriented with classrooms facing north and south.
- The heart of the school is the connective link between all the parts.
- Physical Education spaces are organized to provide convenient access to outdoor fields.
- Three-sided courtyard open along its eastern edge providing outdoor connection to drop off loop.
- Combines indoor \& outdoor spaces.
- On grade parking



## The Hand Concept



The Hand Concept: Massing Experience


The Hand Concept - Option A


The Hand Concept - Option B


## Massing Precedents \& Site Section

## Precedents

1. Boldrewood Innovation Campus, UK (Grimshaw)
2. Manhattan High School, KS (Gould Evans)
3. Yorktown High School, VA (Perkins Eastman)
4. Oakland University Human Health Building, MI (Smith Group)
5. Mount Si High School, WA (NAC)
6. Madden Innovation Center, New Zealand (Warren \& Mahoney)


AERIAL OVER BRADDOCK RD

## The Pinwheel Concept



## The Pinwheel Concept

- Organizes the learning neighborhoods and athletic facilities in orbit around the heart of the school.
- The heart of the school is the connective link between all the parts.
- Three-sided courtyard along the western side providing outdoor connection to the fields
- Drop off loop \& plaza adjacent to Braddock.
- Combines indoor \& outdoor spaces.
- On grade parking



## The Pinwheel Concept



## The Pinwheel Concept: Massing Experience



The Pinwheel Concept - Option A


## The Pinwheel Concept - Site Plan Option B



## Massing Precedents \& Site Section

## Precedents

1. Concordia International School,

Shanghai (Gensler)
2. Banneker High School, DC (Perkins Eastman)
3. UMass Design Building, MA
(Leers Weinzapfel Associates)
4. Manhattan High School, KS (Gould Evans)
5. Traditional Industries Innovation Center,

Taiwan (MAYU Architects)
6. Dunbar High School, DC (Perkins Eastman)


## $ז$ <br> Feedback on Revised Concepts - March 24 \& 25 Meetings

## EDT MEETING

Which site option do you like best?


## COMMUNITY MEETING

Which site option do you like best?


Which building concept do you like best?

## ADVISORY GROUP MEETING

Which site option do you like best?


Which building concept do you like best?



## Feedback on Revised Concepts - Staff \& Student Polls

## Staff Poll - 70 Responses

Which site option do you like best?


Which building concept do you like best?


## Student Poll - 313 Responses

Which site option do you like best?


Which building concept do you like best?


## Comparison of Concepts



## Best Features - Building Concepts

## HAND

## PINWHEEL

- The least expensive option
- 4-story high
- Presents courtyard between 2 classroom wings
- Offers some flexibility for future expansion
- Main entrance and prominent civic presence on East and West sides
- Offers most open space
- Most parking
- Best relationship of learning spaces with heart of the school
- Most compact school building with the least footprint
- Offers the most flexibility for future expansion
- Main entrance and prominent civic presence from Braddock Road

Revised Building Concept Options


THE HAND CONCEPT


THE PINWHEEL CONCEPT


THE PINWHEEL CONCEPT

## Comparison Matrix

| COMPARISON CATEGORY | HAND | PINWHEEL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Building GSF | 293,300 | 297,300 |
| Open Space GSF | 343,900 | 346,300 |
| Total Parking Spaces | 195 | 200 |
| Dedicated Spaces | 155 | 160 |
| Shared Spaces | 40 | 40 |

## Cost Comparison Matrix

## School Board Costs (Construction Budget \$150M):

| ACPS PROGRAM | HAND | PINWHEEL |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Total Construction Hard and Soft | $\$ 147.5 \mathrm{M}$ | $\$ 149.5 \mathrm{M}$ |


| OPTIONAL PROGRAM | COST ESTIMATE |
| :--- | :---: |
| Aquatic Facility | $\$ 15.2 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Co-located Alexandria Health Department (Teen Wellness Center) | $\$ 0.9 \mathrm{M}$ |
| Co-located Department of Community and Human Services (DCHS) <br> Spaces | $\$ 0.95 \mathrm{M}$ |

## Project Timeline

## Educational Design Timeline



## Construction Timeline

## Questions \& Feedback

## Contact us:

> Email: hsproject@acps.k12.va.us

Updates:
> Online: www.acps.k12.va.us/hsproject


## Thank you
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