## Enhanced Continuity of Learning Plan 4.0:

# Reimagining Schools Wednesday, July 15th 



EVERY STUDENT SUCCEEDS

Welcome! Let's start with a check-in
What's something
you've done to take
care of yourself
this week?
www.acps.k12.va.us


- Keep yourself on mute unless you're speaking
- Keep your video on if possible - We'd love to see you!
- Use the chat to ask questions
- Prepare to engage!
- Tabs closed
- Share ideas
- Bias to action


## What are we accomplishing in this Sprint?

We initiated Cross Functional Planning Teams, expanding the cohort of leaders and staff who are involved in discussion, analysis and decision making. Through this we reviewed essential questions and identified key decisions to be made.

- We will evaluate scheduling options that align to each of the models, highlighting implications, and considerations across financial, operational, staffing, safety, etc. This will prepare us to design prototypes for discussion with school leaders, community members, and Thought Partners.
- Our teams will review Staff and Family Survey Data to build a deeper understanding of needs and requirements for reopening models.

- $\quad$ Schedule Guidance + Instructional Models
- Initial Budget Analysis
- Prioritized Policies and Processes to be Addressed
- Draft Action Plans +

Prototypes for Feedback

## Today at a Glance

## This Zoom

## Now to ~ 10am

- Family + Staff Survey Results (Clint, Accountability)
- Small Group Data Review through a modified Ladder of Inference
- Preview of Next Week + Outputs


## CFPT Zoom

~ 10 am to 11 am

- Continue through Workbook
- Focus on Core Area Guidance + Decisions for Schedule Prototypes
*Reminder: please upload your Zoom Recordings into the Google Doc. Sahar is here to assist you if you have any questions.


## Survey Data Walkthrough from Clinton Page

## Survey - Participation Summary

## Historic Participation Rates

## 11,852 Family Survey Responses

- Historic participation rates within all groups
- Continued reduction in underrepresentation while work remains to fully eliminate (Hispanic/Latino -16\% pts and Black/African American -6\% pts)


## Survey Limitations

- Unique needs and attributes of non-respondents is possible.
- In rapidly changing environment stakeholder perceptions may also change quickly.
- Survey is only one data collection source and needs to be balanced with all other available data.


## Current Family Preference

## Family Preference Between Two

 Reopening Models students, staff and families regarding distance learning in March through June

- A majority of family survey respondents currently prefer the Hybrid Approach.
- White families (72\%) were most likely to prefer the Hybrid Model compared with Hispanic/Latino (53\%) and Black/African American (48\%) families.
- PreK-2 (65\%) and grades 9-12 (63\%) families were more in favor of the Hybrid Approach compared to grades 3-5 (58\%) and 6-8 (54\%).


## Current Staff Return On-Site

Likelihood that Staff will Return to Work On-Site


- $63 \%$ of staff survey respondents currently feel it is somewhat to very likely they return to work on-site this fall
- Highest likelihood of return were seen within support staff $(73 \%)$ with school-based licensed staff ( $60 \%$ ) and central office staff ( $60 \%$ ).
- No large differences were seen across staff grade-bands with all falling within three percentage points of each other.


## Top Factors Influencing Family and Staff

## Factors Influencing Reopening Preferences/Likelihood to Return to Work On-Site

| Families- Top 5 factors | Families | Staff- Top 5 factors | Staff |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| My child's physical health and safety | $56 \%$ | Personal physical health and safety | $63 \%$ |
| My child's engagement in learning | $33 \%$ | My family's physical health and safety | $54 \%$ |
| My family's physical health and safety | $32 \%$ | Student needs | $39 \%$ |
| My child's social needs | $28 \%$ | Personal mental health needs (e.g., stress, anxiety) | $25 \%$ |
| My child's mental health needs (e.g., stress, anxiety) | $20 \%$ | Childcare needs | $17 \%$ |

- Both family and staff reported physical health and safety as the top factor in their current decision-making. Family and staff also both reported the learning and needs of students as primary drivers in decision making.
- Family responses did vary based on model preference with families selecting the Hybrid Approach prioritizing learning (50\%) and social needs (44\%) of students where families selecting the Fully Virtual Approach overwhelmingly cited their student's physical health and safety (87\%).
- Factors for staff varied slightly by position category, with support staff and other staff reporting financial considerations among the top five.


## Support of Safety Measures

## Support of Safety Measures

| Percentage in support of: | $\underline{\text { Families }}$ | $\mathbf{S t a f f}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Wearing a face mask | $88 \%$ | $90 \%$ |
| Participating in on-site temperature checks | $93 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Using hand sanitizer regularly | $95 \%$ | $96 \%$ |
| Participating in regular hand washing | $97 \%$ | $97 \%$ |
| Using disinfectant wipes on surfaces | $96 \%$ | $95 \%$ |
| Maintaining social distancing | $93 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| Limited classroom seating to maintain social distancing <br> Students having meals in the classroom instead of the <br> cafeteria | $92 \%$ | - |
| Personally ensuring students and staff follow all safety <br> measures | $88 \%$ | $64 \%$ |

- A vast majority of families and staff reported strong support for safety measures.
- Students having meals within classrooms was the least supported measure by staff (64\%).
- Mask-wearing was supported at equivalent rates ( $88 \%$ to $95 \%$ ) across grade-level bands across family and staff respondents. .


## Current Family Transportation Plans

Transportation Needs for All Families


- $37 \%$ of all family responses report current plans to use ACPS transportation with no difference in ratio between all respondents and those selecting the Hybrid Approach.


## Small Group Breakouts Data Review Protocol

- First: What do you see in the data?

*Helpful Hint: Stay low on the Ladder of Inference first. Don't jump to
"This makes me think we might.


## Whole Group Debrief

# What is one thing you 

 are still thinking about after reviewing the data?
## Where we've been \& where we're headed

| Which Sprint? | What goes into our Final Report? | Aligned Deliverable | What does it communicate? | What's the level of specificity? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sprint 1 | Benefits \& Risks for each Model | Synthesized Benefits and risks for all teams | Aligning w/in and across teams as we prepare to make larger decisions for reopening | Division-level |
| Sprint 1 | Key Decisions for each Focus Area | Workbooks section I \& II | Clarifying decisions and dependencies w/in and across teams as we begin building our schedule | Division-level |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Sprint } 2 \\ 07 / 06-07 / 17 \end{gathered}$ | Informs Schedule Guidance \& Prototypes | Community Survey | Pulse-check to gauge what prototypes we must build in Sprint 2, and community felt needs across Models | Division-level |
| Sprint 2 <br> 07/06-07/17 | Schedule Guidance for each Model | Schedule Guidance Prototypes | Using what we know about facility and transportation capacity, begin designing schedule guardrails and planning guidance for ES + Secondary | Division-level |
| Sprint 2 <br> 07/06-07/17 | Prototypes \& Stakeholder Playbooks | V1 of Playbooks \& Schedule Guidance Prototypes | Given our drafted schedule - we share strategies, processes, or potential solutions across Models for various stakeholder groups | Division + school-level + stakeholder-level |
| Sprint 3 \& 4 <br> 07/20-08/14 | Action Steps | VFinal of Playbooks \& Schedule Guidance Prototypes $\rightarrow$ Final Report | Decisions that are "safe enough to" move forward with across or in a given model, and include updated policies and processes aligned to latest and greatest information and stakeholder input. | Division + school-level + stakeholder-level |

# Resources + Samples <br>  <br> As CFPTs, we reviewed National and State guidance, as well as dig into example schedules from Northern VA divisions (and others). <br> These models are tested for feasibility (e.g. staffing, cost, matching family needs). 

ACPS Schedule

Prototypes


Detailed models outlining student instruction and what will be expected to support the "school day" and student learning.

## [Stakeholder] Playbooks



Guidance to different stakeholder groups on how to implement (tools, division guidance, actions and


These documents form the foundation for our Comprehensive Reopening Plan.

## Schedule Prototypes: Design Considerations

|  | Elementary | Secondary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 믈 | Schedule A (Hybrid) | Schedule C (Hybrid) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \bar{\pi} \\ & \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{ \pm} \end{aligned}$ | Schedule B (Virtual) | Schedule D (Virtual) |

- Days attending?
- Number of days in person attending (eg. 2 days a week in-person, 3 days a week virtual)
- Consecutive days vs alternating days (eg. AB Schedule/AABB Schedule)
- Students attending the entire SY vs. certain weeks
- Who attends?
- Most students vs all students (eg. extra instruction for specific groups)
- Entire grades vs some grades? Which students attend on which days? Do some students attend more than others? What do our students need?
- Synchronous (In person and virtual)
- What is prioritized during in-person time?
- What are the expectations for synchronous and asynchronous time by: grade level, subject
- Will we adjust what courses are offered such as electives and specials?
- Asynchronous Guidance (virtual)
- What is prioritized during asynchronous time?
- What is not happening during asynchronous time?
- Teacher Considerations
- Looping with students?
- Is there a day that no students will be in the building (i.e. teacher planning day)?
- What teacher hours/contracts should we keep in mind when planning?

EXAMPLE Staff Mental Health \& Wellness
Health \& Safety

```
SUMMARY of FOCUS ARE
education, and training will
prioritize the safety and we
deliberate in developing pa
changing conditions that ir
CRITERIA FOR SUCCESS:
ACPS supports employee Phy
communication, education, a
```

ACPS recognizes this is a stres situation and that employee communication,
These come from the 'team
set up' section of your
workbook
al Safety and Emotional Wellbeing through
training both in-person and virtually.


Populate with aligned qualitative and quantitative data from surveys and community conversations

DIVISION EXPECTATIONS:

- Human Resources
nd Health Services will provide safety procedures based on the varying situations of emproyees. Accommodations may include flexible work spaces, which may have implications for departmental and aux

Guidelines are rooted in CDC, VDOE, Thought Partners, and guidance you've started creating in your workbooks

MUST DOs Campus Action Items:
2. $\begin{aligned} & \text { space, physical } \\ & \text { Campus leader }\end{aligned}$ These are decisions you believe schools must make for
ent, personal ngs and/or

## SUPPORTING SCHOOL DOCS

3 . Campual need schools to open successfully. These are actions that Campus admin
of each other, individual schools will own during the reopening social gathering process
4. Staff will requir
when students should be sent to the clinic, dismissed or virus transmission from surfaces.
5. Staff will require training developed by Counseling and Whole Student Wellness. The Schoology Course will be available to campuses through the Back to School Toolkit

## MAY DOs Division Guidance

providing trauma These are decisions you believe are 'nice to haves' or - Health Services w topics include: sti expectations 'good to know', and/or are decisions that will be exclusively owned at the division-level. This language will be tweaked, depending on the Focus Area.

## 'yees by

mic. Possible loyee workload

## ısibility in the care

ort groups, virtual Note: Link your supporting documents below
19. For example

- E.g. Toolkit of Resources
- Safety Procedures guidance


## STEP 1: Reflect on the Models + Schedules we

 explored[15 min discussion]

## Insight "An aha I have is..."

Wondering "A question I have is..."


Anchor Schedules for Hybrid + 100\% Distance Learning
Action "A next step I can take is..."

## STEP 2: Set expectations within and across Models

 [15 min discussion]- What can students, staff and/or families expect from ACPS regardless of model?
- In what ways does your Core Area support the Hybrid Model and the Virtual Model?

We will use this information to build our Scheduling Prototype

## STEP 3: Confirm Key Decisions + Scheduling Interdependencies

[30 min discussion]

- What is the relationship between this decision and determining scheduling guidance?
- Who owns this decision?
- What information is needed to make a decision or recommendation?


## Questions to Ask Yourself to Understand Interdependency

- Do we need to know the specifics of which hybrid or virtual model ACPS selects to make a decision?
- Would students + families need this information if deciding whether to enroll in hybrid or virtual learning model?
- Does our school staff need this information to know what / when / where / how to perform their job responsibilities?

| BUDGET | DO NOW |  |  |  | PREVIEW OF WEDNESDAY |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Confirm Key Decisions + Scheduling Interdependencies <br> Interdependent - This decision will impact scheduling OR scheduling will impact this decision. <br> Not Interdependent - We can make a decision without knowing the specifics of the schedule <br> Not Applicable - This Decision does not apply to this Model |  | Identify Who Owns this Decision <br> Examples: <br> - Our CFPT <br> - Principals <br> - Dept Name / Lead <br> - VA Health Dept | List any information needed to make a decision or recommendation. <br> Example: <br> - Number of staff returning <br> - Number of families needed technology assistance <br> - List of students attending each day schedule day | Build Recommendations: <br> Division Guidance + School Actions |  |
| DECISION (from here) | HYBRID | VIRTUAL | OWNER | INFORMATION NEEDED | Recommended Division Guidance | Recommended School Actions |
| DECISION: Funding constraint driving active management of spending constraints |  | For many of you are the | hese decisions, wner. | Who is responsible for getting the data? And when will it be available? |  |  |
| DECISION: Are there restrictions on how schools/depts are to spend their budget? What is the expectation? |  |  |  |  |  |  |

These were pulled from from your discussion last week. Feel free to add more, combine existing or delete if N/A.

## Upcoming Board Meeting - At a Glance

1. Scheduling Guidance
2. Survey Review
3. Community Chats \& Upcoming Engagement Activities

## Enhanced Continuity of Learning Plan 4.0:

## Reimagining Schools Monday, July 13th



EVERY STUDENT SUCCEEDS

## Welcome! Let's start with a check-in

## Participant ID: XX Meeting ID: 000-000-000 Head, Hand, or Heart


getting things done
www.acps.k12.va.us


- Keep yourself on mute unless you're speaking
- Keep your video on if possible - We'd love to see you!
- Use the chat to ask questions
- Prepare to engage!
- Tabs closed
- Share ideas
- Bias to action


## Top of Mind: Our Norms

1. Psychological Safety Team members feel safe to take risks, be vulnerable, explore different points of view, and disagree respectfully.
2. Preparedness We come to all meetings prepared to be present and participate; topics for discussion and objectives are clear
3. Dependability Team members collaborate and communicate to get things done on time and meet ACPS' high bar of excellence.
4. Timeliness We start and end meetings on time, using our time wisely.
5. Structure and Clarity Team members have clear roles, plans, and goals; we address the content of the material and stay on topic.

## Facility + Transportation Capacity

Walkthrough of data by Dr. Alicia Hart + Erika L.
Gulick

## School Social Distancing Assumptions

- Aim to Maintain 6 Feet Distance at all Times
- (i.e. one-way hallways, extra time and different procedures for arrival and dismissal, reconsideration of physical education, recess, etc.)
- 65 SF/Person to Maintain Social Distancing While Considering:
- People are not discrete points
- Cabinetry, furniture and access will restrict configurations
- Walls must be floor to ceiling to be considered separator for social distance
- Data inaccuracies
- 1 Teacher Per Classroom (Including at Kindergarten and Pre-Kindergarten)
- Using all Current Classroom Spaces, Not Others at this Time
- Desks/Furniture Facing Same Direction
- Classroom Transitions will be Eliminated and/or Limited


## Capacity Assumptions by School Facility

| School | Sum of Social <br> Distance <br> Capacity | Sum of No More Than 10 | Adj Secondary | Adj Secondary No More than 10 | Current <br> Capacity | Projection | Percent SD <br> Cap of <br> Projection | Percent SD Cap <br> No More than <br> 10 of <br> Projection |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charles Barrett | 297 | 238 |  |  | 512 | 603 | 49.25\% | 39.47\% |
| Cora Kelly | 213 | 188 |  |  | 429 | 329 | 64.74\% | 57.14\% |
| Douglas MacArthur at Taney Ave | 400 | 340 |  |  | 724 | 642 | 62.31\% | 52.96\% |
| Ferdinand T. Day | 356 | 252 |  |  | 650 | 560 | 63.57\% | 45.00\% |
| Francis C. Hammond | 852 | 754 | 681.6 | 603.2 | 1396 | 1457 | 58.48\% | 51.75\% |
| George Mason | 251 | 218 |  |  | 348 | 422 | 59.48\% | 51.66\% |
| George Washington | 595 | 459 | 476 | 380.8 | 1150 | 1644 | 36.19\% | 27.92\% |
| James K. Polk | 354 | 306 |  |  | 756 | 798 | 44.36\% | 38.35\% |
| Jefferson-Houston | 339 | 261 |  |  | 750 | 661 | 51.29\% | 39.49\% |
| John Adams | 494 | 462 |  |  | 858 | 881 | 56.07\% | 52.44\% |
| King Street | 1817 | 1328 | 1563 | 1142 | 2926 | 3287 | 55.28\% | 40.40\% |
| Lyles-Crouch | 207 | 192 |  |  | 375 | 505 | 40.99\% | 38.02\% |
| Matthew Maury | 182 | 172 |  |  | 350 | 374 | 48.66\% | 45.99\% |
| Minnie Howard | 456 | 374 | 392 | 322 | 853 | 989 | 46.11\% | 37.82\% |
| Mount Vernon | 418 | 343 |  |  | 755 | 907 | 46.09\% | 37.82\% |
| Patrick Henry | 504 | 369 |  |  | 850 | 903 | 55.81\% | 40.86\% |
| Samuel W. Tucker | 349 | 270 |  |  | 620 | 758 | 46.04\% | 35.62\% |
| William Ramsay | 411 | 329 |  |  | 748 | 699 | 58.80\% | 47.07\% |
| Grand Total | 8495 | 6855 |  |  | 15050 | 16419 | 52.42\% | 43.32\% |

Note: This table is based on current assumptions and current information and will be adjusted as more information and detail is available. If schedule for changing classes remains the same at the middle school and high school level, capacity will significantly reduce (to numbers in the adjusted columns).

## Bus Seating Impacts of Social Distancing

| Bus Type | Normal Capacity | One Student/ <br> Bench | One Student/Bench <br> (Alternating) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Standard (30 Passenger) | 30 | 8 | 4 |
| Standard (53 Passenger) | 53 | 14 | 7 |
| Standard (65 Passenger) | 65 | 18 | 9 |
| Equipped w/Lift (65 Passenger) | 65 | 13 | 7 |
| Standard (77 Passenger) | 77 | 24 | 12 |
| Equipped w/Lift (77 Passenger) | 77 | 17 | 9 |

## Transportation Social Distancing Assumptions

- 10,000 Students Qualified to Ride Bus
- Approximately 6,500 students actually participate in ridership
- Fleet Utilization
- 123 total buses in fleet
- Leaves no contingency option if all used
- Can have multiple transportation scenarios based on fleet utilization
- 102 routes, 2 runs (current)
- 102 routes, 3-4 runs (anticipated)
- "Siblings" will be Allowed to Sit Together
- Defined as children who live in same residence regardless of familial relation


## Bus Social Distancing Examples



## One

Student/Bench (77 Passenger Example)


One
Student/Bench
(Alternating)
(77 Passenger
Example)

## Transportation Capacity Analysis

| Scenario A: Utilize 90 Buses, Allowing Contingency |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Passenger Capacity | Quantity of Buses | \% of Students Transported (Estimated Normal Ridership) | \% of Students <br> Transported COne Per <br> Bench) | \# of Students <br> Transported <br> (Alternating) |
| Seandard | 30 | 2 | 60 | 16 | 8 |
| Scandard | 53 | 8 | 424 | 112 | 56 |
| Seandard | 65 | 5 | 325 | 90 | 45 |
| Equipped w/Lift | 65 | 7 | 455 | 91 | 49 |
| Standard | 77 | 67 | 5159 | 1608 | 804 |
| Equipped w/Lift | 77 | 1 | 77 | 17 | 9 |
| Totals |  | 90 | 6500 | 1934 | 971 |
| Capacify Change |  |  |  | -70.2\% | -85.1\% |


| Type | Passenger Capacity | Quantity of Buses | \# of Students Transported (Based on Fleet Capacity)* | " of Students <br> Transported (One Per <br> Bench) | * of Students Transported (Alternating) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Seandard | 30 | 2 | 60 | 16 | 8 |
| Standard | 53 | 8 | 424 | 112 | 56 |
| Seandard | 65 | 5 | 325 | 90 | 45 |
| Equipped w/Lift | 65 | 7 | 455 | 91 | 49 |
| Seandard | 77 | 100 | 7700 | 2400 | 1200 |
| Equipped w/Lift | 77 | 1 | 77 | 17 | 9 |
| Totals |  | 123 | 9041 | 2726 | 1367 |
| Capaciry Change |  |  |  | -69.8\% | -84.9\% |

## Employees Residing in Neighboring Areas

| Location | Number | Percentage | Location | Number | Percentage |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Alexandria City | 1082 | $36 \%$ | Loudoun County | 35 | $1 \%$ |
| Arlington | 225 | $7 \%$ | Maryland | 380 | $13 \%$ |
| division of <br> Columbia | 137 | $5 \%$ | Other | 100 | $3 \%$ |
| Fairfax County | 815 | $27 \%$ | Prince William <br> County | 259 | $9 \%$ |
| Total Number of Employees: 3033 |  |  |  |  |  |



## Our Work This Week

## Overview by Education Elements

## Starting with Common Language

MODEL: a specific possibility; the goal is not a perfect plan, but rather used to uncover differences in an atypical school year. Our models will help us to start planning for new processes, policies and procedures specific to the ACPS context.

SPRINT: a set period of time during which specific work has to be completed and made ready for review.

CORE AREAS: The Cross-Functional Planning Teams are focused on a variety of topics that will likely require deep design and redesign to support a future learning model. These are viewed as the topics that need to be addressed in most or all of the possible models.

PROTOTYPE: generating innovative solutions by keeping our community at the center of the design process, gathering feedback early and often.

## A Sprint Approach Guides Our Planning



- We will operate in phases, so that we can test ideas, gather data, and constantly evolve the plan. Throughout the process, we will remain agile and willing to adjust course based on new information
- Each phase has its own purpose, set of outputs, and roles. Communications will be developed throughout, with updates provided across the ACPS community


## Our CFPT Work at a Glance

CURRENT ACTIONS


- Benefits and Risks

Associated with Each Reopening Model

- Calendar + Guiding

Tools for Sprints $2+3$

- Current Budget

- Master Schedule + Instructional Models
- Initial Budget Analysis
- Prioritized Policies and Processes to be Addressed
- Draft Action Plans +

Prototypes for Feedback


- Action Plans + Prototypes
- Increased Stakeholder Involvement Through Community Conversations
- School Leader Planning
- Budgets Finalized
- Updates to Policies and Processes Proposed
- Comprehensive Reopening Report
- Plans Submitted to VDOE
- Student Scheduling
- Transportation Planning
- Guidance for Students and Families


## What are we accomplishing in this Sprint?

We initiated Cross Functional Planning Teams, expanding the cohort of leaders and staff who are involved in discussion, analysis and decision making. Through this we reviewed essential questions and identified key decisions to be made.

- We will evaluate scheduling options that align to each of the models, highlighting implications, and considerations across financial, operational, staffing, safety, etc. This will prepare us to design prototypes for discussion with school leaders, community members, and Thought Partners.
- Our teams will review Staff and Family Survey Data to build a deeper understanding of needs and requirements for reopening models.



## Example: Student \& Teacher Schedules

## In-Person Learning and Distance Learning

| STUDENT SCHEDULES: 30\% Capacity | TEACHER SCHEDULES: 30\% Capacity |
| :---: | :---: |
| DAILY <br> - Every student attends school one day a week in-person (except Monday). <br> - When not in school, the student connects on-line to class for synchronous learning on the other days based upon their schedule. <br> - A school day is equal in length to pre-closure and the same start- and end-time as the pre-closure school day. | DAILY <br> Teachers teach $25 \%$ of their students face-to-face on each of four days. <br> They may or may not require or invite other students to join portions of the face-to-face lessons via videoconferencing <br> - Teachers have planning time on a daily basis |
| WEEKLY <br> Every student attends school one day a week and participates in distance learning the other four days <br> - Mondays are virtual for everyone | WEEKLY <br> Teachers engage in facilitating synchronous learning primarily on the one day on which they do not teach students face-to-face. They may also facilitate synchronous learning during the non-face-to-face time on other days or as part of the face-to-face instruction as referenced in 1.b.i. |

## SYNCHRONOUS

- Every student will engage in both synchronous and asynchronous distance learning on the four week days in which they are not in school for in-person learning. - On the days in which a student is not at school but other students are, the student will join the class for sixty to ninety minutes of synchronous distance learning.
- On the days in which no students are in school, students will engage in 30 minutes of synchronous distance learning as well as up to 45 minutes of additional small group synchronous distance learning


## ASYNCHRONOUS

- Every student will engage in asynchronous distance learning on the four week days in which they are not in school for in-person learning.
- The daily expectations of time spent on asynchronous distance learning will be as follows: $\mathrm{K}-2$ : up to 1 hour; grades 3-5: up to 90 minutes; 6-8: 20 minutes per class; 9-12: 30 minutes per class;
Provide playlists for students to work on when not physically atte


## Sample from another division

## Transportation

Safe Operations \& Systems

## SUMMARY:

Our community of parents, students, and our staff can expect to receive the highest level of transportation services in the future. Regardless of student schedules, facility changes, or health adaptations, we will continue to provide the highest level of service that our community deserves. We will put processes in place that meet current recommendations at the local, state, and national level to ensure both our staff and students are safe.

## CRITERIA FOR SUCCESS:

- $100 \%$ of students requiring transportation are served


## WHAT WE HEARD:

- Klein ISD student and staff safety is a priority
- Families are concerned about the safety and wellness on buses


## GUIDELINES:

- Students will ride the bus at traditional capacity
- Students will be required to wear face coverings on the bus; extra face coverings will be available on the bus
- Encourage parent volunteers to monitor bus stops and promote physical distancing and usage of face coverings
- Routes will be adjusted as needed to accommodate for different scenarios


## Campus Action Items:

1. Campus to ensure that buses/car drop off and pick up do not occur at the same time.
2. Campuses to outline location for drop off and pick up
3. Campus must ensure that departure from school includes an administration check point for all cars. Administrators will radio the teacher who will then dismiss the student to their car. No mass dismissal.
4. Campuses should encourage students to walk to school if they are able to safely do so.
5. Campus will provide procedures to ensure the implementation and supervision of all students during the bus arrival/dismissal process.

## District Guidance

- For bus transportation, a mask/covering is required and can run full capacity
- Masks will be available on school buses for students who arrive without
- In Scenario 2, bus routes for ES and highest-need K12 SPED 4 days/week across ES+MS, Secondary 1 day/week - Promotes social distancing - 60-65\% of students/building
- In all scenarios, if special transporta mask will be made on an individual


# Scheduling Guidelines 

## Small Group Breakouts

## ACPS Broad Reopening Models



## Schedule Design Considerations

|  | Elementary | Secondary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 믈 | Schedule A <br> (Hybrid) | Schedule C <br> (Hybrid) |
| 조 |  |  |
| $\overline{\text { Un }}$ | Schedule B <br> (Virtual) | Schedule D <br> (Virtual) |
| 童 |  |  |

- Days attending?
- Number of days in person attending (eg. 2 days a week in-person, 3 days a week virtual)
- Consecutive days vs alternating days (eg. AB Schedule/AABB Schedule)
- Students attending the entire SY vs. certain weeks
- Who attends?
- Most students vs all students (eg. extra instruction for specific groups)
- Entire grades vs some grades? Which students attend on which days? Do some students attend more than others? What do our students need?
- Synchronous (In person and virtual)
- What is prioritized during in-person time?
- What are the expectations for synchronous and asynchronous time by: grade level, subject
- Will we adjust what courses are offered such as electives and specials?
- Asynchronous Guidance (virtual)
- What is prioritized during asynchronous time?
- What is not happening during asynchronous time?
- Teacher Considerations
- Looping with students?
- Is there a day that no students will be in the building (i.e. teacher planning day)?
- What teacher hours/contracts should we keep in mind when planning?


## Our Guiding Principles

Increase Equitable
Access to Improve
Learning Outcomes
for All Students


Build Trust and Allow Creative Ideas for Reopening

Ensure Students Have Access to Technology and Connectivity

## For the Next 25 minutes $\rightarrow$ Scheduling Exploration

- [10 min] Explore the crosswalk document of all NoVA scheduling guidance. Keep in mind: Would these schedules meet our Guiding Principles? Where might they fall short?
- [15 min] Review the anchor schedules, beginning with your specific group. (eg. ES $\rightarrow$ Hybrid Model). Schedules are in this slide deck.


Anchor Schedules for Hybrid +100\% Distance Learning

- [10 min] Gather what these schedules sparked for you, what questions came up, and what we might consider adding to get to our Guiding Principles on this padlet.


## Arct:

## Time for breakouts!

# Cross Functional Planning Team Time 

...Time to head over to your CFPT Rooms... (and welcome SCR team into yours)

## LOOKING AHEAD TO WEDNESDAY

- Empathize with Staff + Families Using Survey Data + Feedback from Principals
- Build Division Guidance + School Actions
- Initiate 2-4 Schedule Prototypes


## Check Outs

I am most excited about [topic].

## I want to think more about [topic].



