12/5/16 Draft Summary Overview of SWD Evaluation RFP Scope

Desired Outcomes of the Evaluation Services:

- 1. Determine the extent to which instructional services support student learning outcomes.
- 2. Determine the extent to which the identification and referral processes in place for students with disabilities have successfully identified students that meet the state and federal definitions including dually identified (EL and SWD) students.
- 3. Determine the extent to which IEP development and reevaluation processes meet state and federal regulations and support student learning needs.
- 4. Determine the extent that human capital resources are supportive of meeting student learning goals.
- 5. Determine the extent that ACPS fosters a climate of accountability, a culture of inclusion, and a belief system that establishes shared ownership of students with disabilities that holds teachers and leaders responsible for student outcomes.
- 6. Determine the effectiveness of communication strategies to keep stakeholders informed in support of helping students meet student learning outcomes.
- 7. Make recommendations that:
 - are actionable and feasible;
 - are both long- and short-term;
 - improve the efficacy and efficiency of services for students with disabilities to promote student academic excellence;
 - are grounded in research related to services and/or other best practices in meeting the academic needs of students with disabilities; and
 - provide a tiered set of options allowing for informed decision-making including fiscal, programmatic, and student impacts within each tiered option.

SWD Key	Key	Questions	Potential Data Sources	
Areas				
	i.	To what extent do the instructional delivery models demonstrate	-document review	
		best practices and meet student needs?	-classroom observations	
	ii.	To what extent does the continuum of services offered by ACPS	-focus groups/ interviews	
		for students with disabilities address the needs of students? How	-surveys	
		do these services compare to similar divisions in terms of	-analysis of division data	
		demographics and resources?	-review of student	
	iii.	How are inclusionary practices being implemented across	records	
		schools and educational settings? Are practices aligned to best	-external research on	
		practices in supporting student academic excellence?	best practices in SWD	
es	iv.	To what extent are instructional interventions and strategies	service delivery	
'n		meeting the needs of students with disabilities?	-external benchmarking	
Services	٧.	To what extent are behavioral supports meeting the needs of		
Se		students with disabilities?		
	vi.	To what extent does postsecondary programming prepare		
a		students for life after high school?		
ō	vii.	To what extent are services for dually identified (EL and SWD)		
云		students meeting student needs?		
ָה ה	viii.	To what extent do instructional services for students with		
Instructional		disabilities have the capacity to positively impact student		
		outcome data toward meeting performance goals found in the		
_		Office of Specialized Instruction Plan (e.g. SOL performance,		

GPAs, graduation rates, IEP goal progress data, postsecondary	1
outcomes, etc.)?	

	1		
Compliance	i.	How effective is Child Find and Early Childhood Special	-document analysis
		Education Services at identifying young children suspected of	-review of student
		having a developmental delay or disability and	records
		providing/getting families access to services?	-focus groups/ interviews
	ii.	To what extent is the referral and eligibility determination	-surveys
		process working in terms of identifying students with	-external benchmarking
		disabilities? In identifying dually identified students?	
	iii.	To what extent are IEPs being developed in compliance with	
		state and federal regulations (e.g. VDOE special education	
d		indicator data)?	
Ε	iv.	To what extent are IEPs being implemented as written?	
Q	V.	To what extent is the IEP reevaluation process being	
0		implemented?	
	i.	How effective is ACPS in recruiting, hiring, and retaining	-analysis of division data
		qualified and effective staff servicing students with disabilities	-focus groups
		including teachers, related service providers and	-interviews
		paraprofessionals?	-surveys
<u> </u>	ii.	How do ACPS's caseloads compare to similarly situated	-review of professional
Human Capita		divisions and divisions in nearby proximity to ACPS?	development offerings
l g	iii.	How efficiently does ACPS allocate staffing to meet the needs	-external benchmarking
		of its population of students with disabilities?	
μa	iv.	To what extent do ACPS's professional development offerings	
<u> 5</u>		meet the needs of teachers, related service providers, and	
		paraprofessionals in servicing students with disabilities?	
	i.	To what extent are teachers and leaders held accountable for	-focus groups
		instructional and procedural practices that effectively support	-surveys
		students with disabilities and their learning?	-interviews
힏	ii.	To what extent do school staff demonstrate a culture of	-classroom observations
Culture and Climate		inclusion?	-analysis of division data
ur. nat	iii.	To what extent do ACPS staff demonstrate a belief system that	
Culture Climate		establishes shared ownership of services and outcomes for	
0 0	_	students with disabilities?	
	i.	How effective are communication efforts in reaching targeted	-document analysis
		audiences with pertinent information (e.g. division to school,	-review of website and
SL		school to division, division to parent, school to parent, teacher	other communications
Communications		to teacher, case manager to case manager at transition points,	-focus groups
		etc.)?	-surveys
u i	ii.	To what extent are families and community members kept	-interviews
Ē		informed about services for students with disabilities (e.g.	
On		through the ACPS website, Parent Advisory Committee, Parent	
0		Resource Center, ACPS Express, etc.)?	